Case Report: Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Elicited Complete Response in a Heavily Pretreated Patient with Metastatic Endometrial Carcinoma with a High Tumor Mutation Burden (TMB)

We report a unique case example of a patient with advanced metastatic endometrial cancer who failed standard of care options before presentation to our translational program for targeted experimental options. Molecular profile analysis (FoundationOne™ testing, Cambridge, MA) of this patient revealed several genomic alterations potentially associated with therapeutic opportunities. In particular, the tumor mutation burden (TMB) and microsatellite instability (MSI) was high (>20 mutations load per megabase of DNA) with the presence of a MSH2 loss-of-function mutation and PD-L1 expression <1%. We hypothesized that this group of genomic aberrations would likely indicate an increased neoantigen load and consequently a heightened probability of sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Therefore, the patient entered a phase I study of the immune checkpoint inhibitor durvalumab. This heavily pretreated patient achieved a complete and long lasting clinical response to immunotherapy with no significant side effects. Follow up analysis of 266 additional patients identified 29 with TMB >20 mutations (mu)/Mb DNA. Six of these received immunotherapy and all 6 demonstrated response whereas only 1 of 21 other high TMB patients who did not receive immunotherapy achieved response. Genomic analysis has emerged as an important predictive component to maximize proportionate therapeutic benefit to immunotherapy and to help prioritize amongst investigative therapeutic options when available.
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**Abstract**

We report a unique case example of a patient with advanced metastatic endometrial cancer who failed standard of care options before presentation to our translational program for targeted experimental options. Molecular profile analysis (FoundationOne™ testing, Cambridge, MA) of this patient revealed several genomic alterations potentially associated with therapeutic opportunities. In particular, the tumor mutation burden (TMB) and microsatellite instability (MSI) was high (>20 mutations load per megabase of DNA) with the presence of a MSH2 loss-of-function mutation and PD-L1 expression <1%. We hypothesized that this group of genomic aberrations would likely indicate an increased neoantigen load and consequently a heightened probability of sensitivity to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Therefore, the patient entered a phase I study of the immune checkpoint inhibitor durvalumab. This heavily pretreated patient achieved a complete and long lasting clinical response to immunotherapy with no significant side effects. Follow up analysis of 266 additional patients identified 29 with TMB >20 mutations (mu)/Mb DNA. Six of these received immunotherapy and all 6 demonstrated response whereas only 1 of 21 other high TMB patients who did not receive immunotherapy achieved response. Genomic analysis has emerged as an important predictive component to maximize proportionate therapeutic benefit to immunotherapy and to help prioritize amongst investigative therapeutic options when available.
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**Case Report**

Patient #103884 is a 61 year-old woman who presented in May 2007 with widely metastatic endometrial carcinoma to liver, lungs and omentum. She achieved a complete response (5/2008) following total hysterectomy, bilateral salpingectomy and subsequent adjuvant cisplatin/taxol. Seven years later, in May 2014, disease recurred (histologically confirmed) comprising multiple lung nodules, a large liver mass (7.8 x 7.0 x 8.5 cm), extensive omental and peritoneal nodules, and expanding ascites. Carboplatin/taxol was reinstituted but followed by rapidly progressive disease (8/2014). Adriamycin was then started but was discontinued after one cycle due to intolerability manifested as tachycardia and pruritus. She underwent a palliative partial hepatectomy and diaphragm nodule resection on October 8, 2014. Pathology confirmed the recurrence was morphologically consistent with the primary disease by histologic review. On the basis of high estrogen/progesterone receptor levels in the malignant tissue she received megestrol hormonal therapy but in the face of enlarging residual and new disease documented in January 2015 megestrol was discontinued and metformin/exemestane started.

After consultation at Mary Crowley Cancer Research Center (MCCRC) the October 2014 malignant tissue was sent to Foundation Medicine for bio-molecular analysis using FoundationOne™. Relevant results included a high tumor mutation burden [TMB; (i.e. >20 mutations/Mb DNA)], 24.3 mutations/Mb; a MSI-H (microsatellite instability-high) signal and MSH2 mutation (Although germline mutational status was not assessed in patient #103884, two of her three daughters subsequently underwent germline testing and were positive). Additional genomic alterations identified included ERBB2, FBXW7, NF1, PALB2, PIK3R2, PTEN, NOTCH3, ACVR1, ARID1A, CHEK2, CIC, CTCF, MLL2, MLL3, RB1 and SPEN, a number of which offered FDA approved therapeutic opportunities (Table 1).
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A September 2015 CT scan confirmed disease progression in the lung, abdomen, pelvis, peritoneum and liver involving multiple lesions ranging from 3.3 x 2.0 cm to 5.9 x 2.8 cm in size. Based on the high TMB and mismatch repair deficiency, the decision was made to proceed with immunotherapy. PD-L1 expression status was not known at that time; however, on September 26, 2017 PD-L1 expression as assessed using SP263 (Biomedical Laboratories, Dallas, TX) was <1% of malignant cells. In September 2015, following informed consent, she started treatment per MCCRC study 13-18, a phase I study of the PD-L1 inhibitor durvalumab (10 mg/kg IV every 2 weeks). A December 2015 computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a 42% reduction of disease by RECIST 1.1 criteria (Figure 1), in March 2016 a 58% reduction of disease and, ultimately, in May 2016, a CT scan showed no evidence of disease (NED). Durvalumab was stopped September 2016. Subsequent CT scans in November 2016 and February 2017 remained NED and PET scan in May 2017 showed no abnormal uptake of fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) thereby validating complete response. This patient remains in complete response as of September 2017.

Our experience with patient #103884 prompted the review of 266 MCCRC patients with advanced cancer who had undergone Foundation One biomolecular analysis. We identified 29 of these patients with TMB >10 mu/Mb DNA, six of whom had received immune therapy (Table 2). All six achieved clinical benefit response (CBR; CR, PR or SD >6 months) as defined prospectively by RECIST 1.1. Of the 21 other patients with TMB >10 mu/Mb DNA who did not receive immunotherapy, only one experienced CBR. Two of the patients were unevaluable for response.

**Discussion**

Recent reports have defined the human cancer TMB...
landscape [1] as well as a quantitative correlation between TMB level and immunotherapeutic response and survival [2], particularly with PD-L1/PD-1 axis checkpoint inhibitor therapy. For example, Rizvi, et al. [3] showed that a higher response rate (PR/CR or SD) to pembrolizumab correlated with TMB in NSCLC patients and that progression free survival (PFS) was also prolonged in high vs low TMB patients (14.5 mo vs 3.7 mo; \( p = 0.01 \)). As would be expected, high mutation rates, TMB and also prolonged in high vs low TMB patients (14.5 mo vs 3.7 mo; \( p = 0.01 \)).

Table 2: MCCRC High TMB Correlated Patient Response to Immunotherapy (6/6 Responses)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>TMB</th>
<th>Prior Treatment</th>
<th>DNA Repair Defect</th>
<th>Experimental Immune Treatment</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>052369</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Surg, XRT</td>
<td>SF3B1</td>
<td>Vigi [20]</td>
<td>SD &gt; 6 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103884</td>
<td>Endometrial ca</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Chemo x4, surg</td>
<td>MSH2</td>
<td>Durvalumab</td>
<td>PR &gt; 6 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>035096</td>
<td>Unk prim</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Chemo x2, surg</td>
<td>PBRM1</td>
<td>TVEC [21]</td>
<td>CR &gt; 3 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137097</td>
<td>Unk prim</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Surg, XRT</td>
<td>SF3B1</td>
<td>TVEC [21]</td>
<td>SD &gt; 18 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Chemo x2, immune, surg</td>
<td>MSH2</td>
<td>TVEC [21]</td>
<td>PR &gt; 2 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>076418</td>
<td>NSCLC (ad)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Chemo x5, XRT, surg</td>
<td>STK11</td>
<td>Durvalumab</td>
<td>SD &gt; 6 mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Low TMB patients associated with 1/21 responses

DNA mismatch repair (MMR) defects and high MSI defects, comprising 20-40% and 9-43% of uterine endometrioid cancers, respectively [8,9], appear to correlate with high TMB signal, neo-antigen expression and survival amongst patients with deficient MMR proteins [10,11]. MMR proteins correct polymerase errors by forming a complex that binds to the mismatched section of DNA then excises the mismatched section and inserts the correct sequence in its place [12]. Cells with abnormally functioning MMR have hyper mutability and are unable to correct certain error types (primarily base-base mismatches and insertion/deletion mispairs) that occur during DNA replication resulting in an increased TMB. Although germline evaluation is not available in the patient, the presence of germline MSH2 mutations in two of her three daughters is highly suggestive of Lynch Syndrome in which PD-L1 expression is as high as 70% [11]. In our patient PD-L1 tumor expression was <1% however, studies have shown that PD-L1 expression is not an invariably reliable predictor of response to treatment with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies [13,14]. Further, PD-L1 assessment may be subject to the vagaries of heterogeneity or discordance [15]. In addition, recurrent endometrial carcinoma has been shown to have a high rate of PD-L2 expression [16]. Not only does PD-L2 expression not appear to be associated with resistance to anti-PD-L1 therapy [17], but also responses have been seen with the PD-L1 inhibitor atezolizumab in PD-L2 high expression patients [18].

In an analysis of responses to PD-1 blockade (pembrolizumab), Le, et al. [15] reported a 78% immune related PFS for MMR deficient patients versus 11% in MMR proficient patients [19]. In addition, there was a 40% PR vs 0% PR, in the two groups, respectively. Finally the FDA recently (05/23/17) expanded product indication of pembrolizumab for any advanced solid tumor with either deficient mismatch repair protein function by immunohistochemistry or MSI-H gene defect and nivolumab (8/2017) for MSI-H colorectal cancer that has progressed after standard frontline and second line treatment. A landmark decision as the first indication based on the molecular signature of a tumor independent of site of origin or histology. This case report adds to the growing clinical database demonstrating the effectiveness of immune checkpoint inhibitors and, in addition, supports the incorporation of genomic analysis, TMB signal, and neo-antigen load as important predictive components to maximize proportionate therapeutic benefit and help prioritize ongoing investigative therapeutic options.
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